		1
1	UNITED STATES DE EASTERN DISTRICE	
2	X	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :	17-CR-00301(NGG)
4	:	,
5	: -against- :	United States Courthouse
6	-against-	Brooklyn, New York
7	: :	
8	SEAN PRICE,	Friday, September 21, 2018 10:00 a.m.
9	Defendant.	
10		
11	X	
12	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL (	
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE N UNITED STATES SENIO	
14	APEARA	N C E S:
15		DONOGHUE, ESQ.
16	Eastern D	ates Attorney istrict of New York
17	Brooklyı	man Plaza East n, New York 11201
18	TARYN A	K. CASTRO, ESQ. . MERKL, ESQ.
19		. ORENSTEIN, ESQ. nt United States Attorneys
20		
21	For the Defendant: ZOE JAYDE [ 154 Gra	DOLAN nd Street
22	New York	k, New York 10013 DE DOLAN, ESQ.
23	DI.ZUE SAII	DE DOLINI, LOW.
24	Court Reporter: Stacy A. Mace, F	
		PR@gmail.com
25	Proceedings recorded by computering produced by Computer-aided Transc	

```
2
                               Proceedings
                           (In open court.)
1
 2
               (Judge NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS takes the bench.)
 3
              THE COURT: Please be seated in the back.
 4
    waiting for the defendant.
                     (Defendant enters courtroom.)
5
 6
              THE COURT: Appearances, please.
 7
              MS. CASTRO: Good morning, Your Honor.
                                                       Monica
8
    Castro for the United States.
9
              THE COURT: Good morning.
10
              MS. MERKL: Taryn Merkl for the United States. And
    Special Agent Christopher Davies of the Department of Homeland
11
12
    Security.
13
              MS. ORENSTEIN: And Karin Orenstein for the United
14
    States.
15
              Good morning, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please be
17
    seated.
              MS. DOLAN: And Zoe Dolan for defendant Sean Price
18
19
    who is present and in custody.
              Good morning, Your Honor.
20
21
              THE COURT: Good morning. Good morning, Mr. Price.
22
              All right, first thing we need to do is, Ms. Dolan,
    I understand that you had some communication with your client
23
24
    respecting your representation, so we need to deal with that
    first.
25
```

3 Proceedings 1 MS. DOLAN: Well, just to be clear, it was actually 2 from his mother after I had met with him regarding sentencing 3 But at any rate, Mr. Price has just informed me 4 that he does not wish to request new counsel or to represent himself. 5 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 Is that true, Mr. Price? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay, very well. So then we will 10 proceed with the process. 11 There are some late motions that have been brought 12 by the defense, and why don't we go over that first. 13 Ms. Dolan. 14 MS. DOLAN: Yes. 15 In speaking with Mr. Price in preparation for the 16 sentencing he did ask me to raise and preserve this issue, and 17 so I have endeavored to do so. I apologize that it wasn't 18 briefed as thoroughly as I might have done. I was uncertain 19 of the status of my representation, but nevertheless wanted to 20 ensure that the issue had, at least, been raised. 21 I think at this point we would submit on the papers. 22 I have nothing further to add beyond what I've already argued, 23 although I am happy to address any questions that the Court 24 has.

All right. All I would like you to do

THE COURT:

4

is identify what the precise application is, or what the motion is so that I can hear from the Government, not on all the background or the legal arguments, but on what the infirmity is that you are raising with the Court at this point.

Well, our position is that there is MS. DOLAN: nothing in the record to establish that the NYPD had probable cause to arrest Mr. Price at the time of his arrest and, therefore, any statements elicited from him at the precinct should have been suppressed. And I think that it's particularly pertinent as to Counts One, Two and Three because, as I argued in the papers, his -- Mr. Price's statement concerning whether he had sex with Jane Doe in New York was the only direct evidence that the Government admitted on that precise question.

I take the Government's arguments with respect to all of the circumstantial evidence surrounding the jury's possible conclusion there, but I think it's an infirmity because it is the only direct evidence of it and it, arguably, should not have been admitted into the trial.

There are states that they passed through where Jane Doe was of the age of maturity and could legally consent. I do think that -- which I argued previously, so I do think that this issue is a meaningful one.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Ms. Castro.

MS. CASTRO: Yes; thank you, Your Honor.

As set out in the Government's papers, the fact of the defendants' having sexual intercourse with Jane Doe is simply not an element of any of the crimes of conviction. The Government charged the defendant and he was convicted of transporting Jane Doe for the purposes of engaging in illegal sex. It need not be the case that we proved he had sex with her. Of course, that was borne out in the evidence, but even absent that point there is ample evidence to prove that the defendant transported her with the purpose of engaging in sex with her in New York, which would be illegal. And that, in and of itself, establishes the crimes of conviction.

So to the point of whether or not this could be dispositive in any way, the Government submits that it would not be. In any event, it is clear that there was ample basis to arrest the defendant when the young minor was found in his home.

The defense counsel in her letter cites to certain investigative notes from the Australian authorities, and they all predate what the officers observed on the day of the defendant's arrest. They discuss questioning the defendant regarding money transfers, for example, that they knew he had submitted to the young minor who had been missing. The day of these -- at that point in time it was not known if the minor

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

was, indeed, with the defendant. At the time of his arrest, law enforcement not only had the additional background from Australia, but they had seen him in the presence of this young minor and they had seen him attempting to flee with her. At the point of that recovery, there was ample basis to arrest and any notes that might predate that discovery is simply irrelevant to the probable cause to arrest him at that point in time.

So the Government submits that this is not dispositive in any way and that, in any event, there was ample basis to arrest the defendant the day that he was arrested, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

The Court concludes that there was ample justification for the arrest and that the defendant's arrest, therefore, and questioning was proper and the other basis for the motion is also unsuccessful. So the motions, the defense motions in the defense's letter of September 17th are overruled.

So we are going to go ahead with the sentencing.

Now, I am going to go over the materials that I have for sentencing to make sure that everyone has the same materials.

There is a pre-sentence investigation report prepared May 1st, 2018. Does the Government have a copy?

```
7
                               Proceedings
                           Yes, Your Honor.
1
              MS. CASTRO:
 2
              THE COURT:
                           Does the defense have a copy?
 3
               (Pause.)
 4
              THE COURT:
                           Do you have a copy of the pre-sentence
    report?
5
 6
              MS. DOLAN:
                           I'm sorry, he didn't -- Mr. Price was
7
    conversing with me.
8
              THE COURT:
                           Do you have a copy of the pre-sentence
9
    report?
10
              MS. DOLAN:
                          Not with me.
11
              THE COURT:
                           No, no, no. Have you reviewed the
12
    report with your client?
13
              MS. DOLAN: Yes, I've reviewed this -- he has a
14
    copy -- Mr. Price has a copy, an actual copy of everything,
15
    save for the September 20th letter from the Government
16
    concerning the motion that the Court just ruled on; the third
17
    addendum to the pre-sentence report; and the September 19th
18
    submission by the Government with respect to sentencing.
19
    Although, I have discussed the substance of those submissions
20
    with him. He was provided with a copy of everything else in
21
    the record up to now.
22
                           Okay, I am just trying to make sure that
              THE COURT:
23
    we go over everything that is in this file.
24
              MS. DOLAN:
                          Yes, of course.
25
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
```

	Proceedings 8
1	MS. DOLAN: He does have a copy of the PSR.
2	THE COURT: And you have reviewed it with him?
3	MS. DOLAN: Yes, I have.
4	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
5	There are three addenda to the pre-sentence report.
6	Do both sides have that?
7	MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
8	MS. DOLAN: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: And you have reviewed that with your
10	client?
11	MS. DOLAN: Yes, and he has copies of the first two
12	and I've reviewed the third.
13	THE COURT: Okay, that's good.
14	There is a September 14th, 2018 letter from the
15	defense attaching two exhibits, one of which Exhibit A is
16	reported Dr. Richard Kreuger.
17	Has the Government received a copy of that?
18	MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: There is a September 14th, 2018 letter
20	from Ms. Dolan to the Court in the nature of a sentencing
21	submission.
22	Has the Government reviewed that?
23	MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Attached to that report are several
25	letters from a number of individuals in support of the

```
9
                               Proceedings
    defendant.
1
 2
              Has the Government seen that?
 3
              MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: And also, Exhibit B is a certificate;
    and Exhibit C is a letter from Mr. Price; Exhibit D is a
 5
    Brennan Center report entitled "What Caused the Crime
 6
 7
    Decline"?
8
              Have you seen that?
9
              MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: And then Exhibit E is a National
10
11
    Institute of Justice publication.
12
              Have you seen that?
13
              MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Now, there is a letter, the Government's
14
15
    letter of September 10th, 2018 in advance of sentencing
16
    dealing with certain issues, including the sentencing
17
    guidelines.
18
              Have you seen that?
19
              MS. DOLAN: Yes, I have, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Then there is, I think I mentioned the
20
21
    September 14th, 2018 letter from the defense.
22
              MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: You have seen that?
24
              MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Now, there were several letters,
```

	Proceedings 10
1	handwritten letters from the defendant to the Court. There is
2	a letter of June 28th, 2018 and there is another letter, a
3	one-page letter received by the Court on August 3rd, 2018.
4	Have you seen those?
5	MS. DOLAN: I've seen the June letter. I don't know
6	if I've seen the August 3rd letter, actually.
7	THE COURT: Let me show it to you. I think the
8	Government responded to that.
9	Did it not?
10	MS. CASTRO: To the August 3rd letter?
11	THE COURT: Have you seen it?
12	MS. DOLAN: Was this a motion?
13	THE COURT: This is a letter as to why Count Four of
14	the charges should
15	MS. DOLAN: Oh, right.
16	THE COURT: Have you seen that?
17	MS. DOLAN: Yes, I have.
18	THE COURT: Have you seen it?
19	MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: It was filed on August 3rd on ECF, so it
21	is out there. I just want to make sure you have seen it
22	MS. DOLAN: Yes.
23	MS. CASTRO: With the additional context, yes, Your
24	Honor.
25	MS. DOLAN: Yes, I recall now.

		Proceedings 11
1		THE COURT: Okay, that's fine.
2		And then there is the Government's letter of
3	September	19th, which is in the nature of a sentencing
4	memorandur	n.
5		You've seen that?
6		MS. DOLAN: Yes, I have.
7		THE COURT: And setting forth the Government's view
8	as to the	guideline calculation.
9		And we have another visitor.
10		(USPO Patricia Sullivan enters courtroom.)
11		USPO SULLIVAN: Apologies, Your Honor, for my
12	lateness.	
13		THE COURT: That's okay, just state your appearance.
14		USPO SULLIVAN: Patricia Sullivan, Probation.
15		Good morning, Your Honor.
16		THE COURT: Yes, thank you very much. Welcome.
17		USPO SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
18		THE COURT: So I think that is everything. Is there
19	anything e	else?
20		MS. DOLAN: I think that covers all the sentencing-
21	related su	ubmissions.
22		THE COURT: Right, that is what I mean; yes.
23		MS. DOLAN: Yes.
24		THE COURT: Thank you.
25		All right, so the next step is for the Court to

Proceedings 12 establish the correct guideline, and there was some 1 2 disagreement with the quideline calculation. So what I am 3 going to do is go over the Probation Department's final 4 calculation. I think it is in the third addendum, is it? 5 USPO SULLIVAN: Correct, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Let's look at the third addendum and 8 then we can discuss these. 9 Now, the defendant was found guilty of Counts One, Two, Three and Four of the Indictment on which he is charged. 10 11 Count One charged him with interstate and foreign 12 enticement to engage in illegal sexual activity under 18 13 United States Code Section 2422(a), it is a Class C felony, 14 and the statutory maximum for that crime is 20 years' 15 imprisonment. 16 Count Two is interstate and foreign transportation 17 of a minor to engage in illegal sexual activity, 18 United 18 States Code Section 2423(a). And the statutory range there is 19 a 10-year minimum to life imprisonment; a Class A felony. 20 Count Three is a Mann Act violation, transportation 21 of an individual with intent to commit rape, 18 United States 22 Code Section 2421. That has a maximum sentence of ten years, 23 a Class C felony. 24 Count Four is attempted sexual exploitation of a 25 child under 18 United States Code, Section 2251(a) and 18

	Proceedings 13
1	United States Code Section 2251(e). That charge is subject to
2	a 15-year minimum and a 30-year maximum imprisonment period.
3	And the defendant was acquitted of Counts Five, Six, Seven and
4	Eight.
5	MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: So at this point we need to go over the
7	guideline calculation.
8	According to the third addendum on Counts One, Two
9	and Three do you have an extra copy of your addendum? How
10	many pages is your addendum?
11	USPO SULLIVAN: One, two three, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: I am missing page 2 in my copy. The
13	base offense level is a 28, is it not?
14	USPO SULLIVAN: It is, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Does everyone agree with that?
16	MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: That would be in the original. It is
18	not listed on
19	MS. DOLAN: Oh, I see.
20	THE COURT: It is not listed on the addendum, that
21	is listed on the original PSR.
22	MS. DOLAN: I understand now, we are talking about
23	base offense level, not adjusted.
24	THE COURT: Yes, I am just trying to go over
25	everything.

### Proceedings 14 I understand that. 1 MS. DOLAN: 2 So does everyone agree the base offense THE COURT: 3 level is a 28? MS. DOLAN: Yes, I do. I have general objections to 4 the guidelines, so I am trying to choose my words 5 6 appropriately, but I agree --7 THE COURT: I'm sorry, general objections in 8 principal or you think that the guidelines that have been 9 promulgated are excessive or because the guidelines, as they 10 apply to this case, are improper? 11 MS. DOLAN: Right, the first, the former. So I do 12 acknowledge that that is the guidelines base offense level. 13 THE COURT: Okay, all right, that is really what --14 and I want to go step-by-step here. 15 Then there is a two-level enhancement, in that the 16 defendant otherwise unduly influenced Jane Doe to engage in 17 prohibited sexual conduct and was at least ten years older 18 than Jane Doe, as such Jane Doe was unduly influenced, and 19 there was a two-level enhancement under Section 2G1.3(b)2B. 20 Does the Government agree with that? 21 MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor. 22 MS. DOLAN: Yes, we object to that. The evidence, a 23 lot of which the Court didn't see, is pretty copious that 24 the -- if -- it is very difficult to make this argument, but 25 in looking at the correspondence on Facebook between Jane Doe

what.

# Proceedings

and Mr. Price, I have to draw the conclusion that the influence that was being exerted was by her. The Government, I think, really strained to prove encouragement and enticement. This was really a plan that Jane Doe came up with and was going to -- was going to follow through on no matter

So I -- and repeatedly, she initiated contact with Mr. Price. She came up with the plan for her own passport, which she had to figure out how to get. Mr. Price had no idea how to advise her on that. She got herself her plane tickets and came across an ocean to the United States.

So I don't think that the undue influence was coming from Mr. Price by any means, so I would object to that.

MS. CASTRO: Your Honor, as a factual matter, at the time the defendant was 39 and Jane Doe was 16. There is a reason that the guidelines assume that such a large age disparity would create an assumption of undue influence.

In any event, the notion that she was going to do this no matter what is not borne out by the evidence. What is clear is that Jane Doe made references to wanting to run away from home. It is equally clear that the defendant encouraged her specifically to run away to him and that he did so specifically for the purposes of his own sexual gratification.

The Government has submitted in their sentencing memorandum an exhibit where it shows the defendant's response

## Proceedings

to mentions by Jane Doe of running away to any place other than to New York to be with him. He responded with anger and withdrawal from her. This is not some -- it is not simply the case that no matter what she was going to come here to be with the defendant. He encouraged her and egged her on and pushed her to do so. And there were instances, Your Honor, where as a 16-year-old she expressed anxiety and fear about going through with this plan and the defendant is seen encouraging her, telling her be strong, endure, go through with it.

So it's simply untrue that no matter what, absent the defendant, this was going to be occurring. Their age difference is significant here and the notion that she was encouraging the defendant is -- just flies in the face of this age disparity. This is a young girl. It's the defendant's responsibility as an adult to not take advantage of her poor decisions and her vulnerability, and the record is clear that he did. He did take advantage of that. I.

I think it's absolutely clear that he unduly influenced her. He found her a very vulnerable victim and he exploited those vulnerabilities.

MS. DOLAN: I just have to --

MS. CASTRO: Your Honor, I'm sorry.

It is also the case that she was able to purchase her ticket and fly here because the defendant sent her the money. He sent her the money and she bought her ticket the

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

very next day. She was not able to get here without the defendant's money.

MS. DOLAN: So I just have to push back on this in this case because, as unpleasant as it is, you know, as the record at trial establishes, Jane Doe did obtain money from someone else prior to her contact in Mexico, prior to her contact with Mr. Price. And the evidence in her computer, which I discussed with the Court which was excluded from the jury's consideration, included, among other things, pictures of 20 to 40 middle-aged black men who, I guess, she was targeting. She had 40,000 followers on Instagram.

And so while Mr. Price, obviously, should not have engaged in this -- in this conduct, we are just sort of piling on enhancements that I don't think are borne out by a close review of the evidence.

THE COURT: Thank you. I believe that the requirements of the Sentencing Guidelines in connection with this specific offense characteristic have been met. I overrule the objection.

Next, in paragraph 28 of the PSR, another specific defense characteristic, as the instant offense involved the use of a computer or an interactive computer service to persuade, induce, entice, coerce or facilitate the travel of Jane Doe to encourage and for the prohibited sexual conduct, two levels are added pursuant to Sentencing Guideline

Proceedings 18 2G1.3(b)3A, two levels. 1 2 MS. DOLAN: So we also object to this. 3 thought of it this morning, and I did some preliminary 4 research, but I believe if I'm reading the guidelines correctly, this is an original from the very first 5 6 promulgation of the guidelines in 1984 when the use of a 7 computer was, in fact, something that might trigger an 8 enhancement conceivably because it was a more sophisticated 9 criminal enterprise, but now it's hard to imagine any human 10 activity that does not involve a computer. 11 So, again, we would object to this sort of Pavlovian 12 increase, and I'll get to this again later when I talk about 13 the Sentencing Guidelines generally, but I just don't think 14 these enhancements are warranted. 15 THE COURT: I understand. 16 Yes; anything from the Government? 17 MS. CASTRO: Your Honor, I think it's more an 18 objection to the guidelines generally, as opposed to the fact 19 that it would apply in this instance. 20 THE COURT: Yes, I would agree with that. 21 point out, it may sound old-fashioned, but people could write letters, they could use the telephone. This is specific to 22 23 the computer, and certainly the use of a computer facilitates

this kind of behavior and the Sentencing Commission has not

removed this enhancement from the guidelines. And until it

24

	Proceedings 19
1	does, it applies. So the objection is overruled.
2	Next, paragraph 29 in the PSR, another specific
3	offense characteristic as the offense involved the commission
4	of a sex act per Sentencing Guideline 2G1.3(b)4 two levels are
5	added.
6	Do you object to that?
7	MS. DOLAN: This is inherent in the charges. So
8	it's just double, triple, quadruple, quintuple punishment.
9	THE COURT: I see.
10	MS. DOLAN: We object.
11	MS. CASTRO: Again, Your Honor, it reads as an
12	objection to the guidelines generally, as opposed to whether
13	or not it applies here.
14	THE COURT: All right, the objection is overruled.
15	Then paragraph 30 from the addendum, the third
16	addendum
17	USPO SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Victim-related adjustment. The
19	defendant knew or should have known the victim of the offense
20	was a vulnerable victim; therefore, the offense level is
21	increased by two levels.
22	Do you object to that?
23	MS. DOLAN: Yes, I think I've previously covered the
24	reasons why.
25	THE COURT: Right, I think you did.

	Proceedings 20
1	MS. DOLAN: We object.
2	THE COURT: And in your submission you covered that
3	as well?
4	MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: So the objection is overruled. There is
6	substantial basis to include this adjustment.
7	So the adjusted total offense level is now instead
8	of a 36, it is a 38. Is that right?
9	USPO SULLIVAN: Correct, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: You agree, the Probation Department
11	agrees with that?
12	USPO SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: And the Government agrees with that?
14	MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor. I apologize if I
15	missed it. Did we address the obstruction enhancement? I
16	believe it's paragraph 32 of the original PSR.
17	THE COURT: I'm sorry, 32. I did not address it.
18	It is here, though. Let's go back to 32.
19	In paragraph 32 there is an enhancement for
20	obstruction of justice. The defendant willfully obstructed or
21	impeded or attempted to obstruct or impede the administration
22	of justice with respect to the investigation, the prosecution
23	or sentencing of the instant offense of conviction and the
24	obstructive conduct related to the defendant's offense of
25	conviction and any relevant conduct or closely-related

offense. Therefore, two levels are added pursuant to the Sentencing Guideline 3C1.1.

You object to that?

MS. DOLAN: We object to that.

THE COURT: Right. And the reason for that is?

MS. CASTRO: Your Honor, as presented in one of the jail calls submitted into evidence at trial, the defendant is heard reaching out to his mother and asking her to contact someone who he referred to as that person. In doing so, he provided an Australian phone number. It did turn out to not be the correct number for Jane Doe, but in any event he's heard telling his mother that she should reach that person and tell her not to cross the pond for any reason and, if need be, to use her mental status to avoid it.

In addition to that, Your Honor, in an August 28th, 2017 submission regarding the motion to suppress, the defendant made certain claims regarding whether or not he provided consent with respect to the seized telephones in this case. He said that he had not and, of course, when documents with his signature showing that he did provide consent ran counter to that. He also provided the passwords for those phones, and so it further belies the notion that he was not involved in providing consent to his phones. The Government submits that there is ample basis for the obstruction enhancement.

### Proceedings 22 Do you want to say more on that? 1 THE COURT: 2 MS. DOLAN: Only that it was Jane Doe who destroyed, 3 attempted to wipe her computer. 4 And as to the question of consent, I think that would fall under the umbrella of the motion to suppress that 5 6 we just made. So I wouldn't consider it an adequate basis for 7 the obstruction enhancement. 8 THE COURT: All right, I am overruling the 9 objection. 10 And so going back to the totals, the adjusted 11 offense level is a 38, to which the Government agrees and 12 Probation agrees and the defense objects. 13 MS. DOLAN: That's correct. THE COURT: Okay. So that is Counts One, Two and 14 15 Three. 16 Count Four, on Count Four the base offense level is 17 There is an additional two levels for commission of a 18 sex act, and there is an additional two levels for use of a 19 computer, and there is an additional two levels for a 20 vulnerable victim, and an additional two levels for 21 obstruction of justice. 22 So all of the objections that the defense had with 23 respect to those enhancements of Counts One, Two and Three are 24 also recognized by the Court. 25 MS. DOLAN: Thank you.

```
23
                               Proceedings
1
              THE COURT: For Count Four and the objections are
 2
    overruled.
 3
              MS. DOLAN:
                          Thank you.
 4
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
              So the adjusted offense level for Count Four is a
 5
 6
    40, to which the defense objects.
 7
              Does the Government agree with that calculation?
8
              MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
9
              THE COURT: And.
10
              USPO SULLIVAN:
                               Yes.
11
              THE COURT: And Probation agrees as well. So the
12
    adjusted offense level for Counts One, Two and Three is a 38;
13
    for Count Four, the adjusted offense level is a 40.
14
    multiple-count adjustment based on those adjusted offense
15
    levels is two units and the greater offense level is a 40.
                                                                 So
16
    the two units are added and the combined adjusted offense
17
    level is a 42.
18
              The defense objects?
19
              MS. DOLAN: For all the reasons previously stated.
20
              THE COURT:
                          Right. And the Government agrees?
21
              MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: As does Probation?
23
              USPO SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: As does the Court.
25
              So based on a total offense Level 42 and -- oh, is
```

	Proceedings 24
1	there any comment on the criminal history category?
2	MS. DOLAN: Nothing to add.
3	MS. CASTRO: No, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	And the Probation has determined the criminal
6	history contact the category is a <u>VI</u> ?
7	USPO SULLIVAN: Correct, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: And the Government agrees with that?
9	MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: And you have nothing to do add?
11	MS. DOLAN: Nothing to add.
12	THE COURT: The Court agrees.
13	So with a Category $\overline{\text{VI}}$ , criminal history category,
14	and a total offense level of 42, the defendant's guideline
15	calculation is 360 months to life in prison.
16	And that's the Court's determination, which brings
17	us to the next stage of this process.
18	As I said before, let me just make it clear: All
19	motions that have been made, but not acted upon on the part of
20	the defense, are denied.
21	And the Government has no open motions, does it?
22	MS. CASTRO: No, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	So the next step in the process is to determine the
25	appropriate sentence, taking into account all of the factors

that are relevant under 18 United States Code Section 3553(a). In order to impose a sentence that is sufficient, but not greater than that necessary, to fulfill the purposes of sentencing.

So let's start with you, Ms. Castro.

MS. CASTRO: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, in the defendant's sentencing submissions a lot of terms are used to describe his conduct here. They refer to his crimes, for example, as an ill-fated love affair. Your Honor, this was not a romance. This was a 39-year-old man who took advantage of an incredibly vulnerable 16-year-old girl for his own sexual gratification and financial gain. As laid out in the Government's sentencing submission, this is a serious offense. It should be sentenced accordingly.

The defendant raises some points as to what might be considered mitigation here. The Government submits that none of them hold up.

Principally, I'd like to address the notion of Jane Doe's participation in the offense. Your Honor, this argument simply takes or seeks to take some of the blame away from the victim -- I apologize, away from the defendant and lay it at the victim's feet and it should not be indulged here. As laid out throughout the Government's sentencing submission, there's ample evidence within the record that Jane Doe was

### Proceedings

particularly vulnerable and susceptible to the manipulation of someone in the defendant's shoes.

Any participation, despite being legally irrelevant and impossible as a defense under the law, is simply a symptom of the very same characteristics that rendered her vulnerable to his actions.

The sentence here should reflect that the exploitation of children, period, under any circumstances should not be tolerated. And the notion of Jane Doe's participation as mitigation is simply unacceptable.

Defendant also raises the notion of Jane Doe's age as potential mitigation, suggesting that because they maintain the age in Australia, of consent in Australia would be 16, that the Court should deem it mitigation in this instance. The record is clear that the defendant was well aware of Jane Doe's age and simply did not care about it. He even stated in one instance that he did not care about the fact that it was illegal for him to have sex with her. One of the goals of sentencing, Your Honor, is to promote respect for the law. Deeming the law of other jurisdictions, particularly in the face of a defendant who explicitly said he didn't care about breaking the law, to be deemed any kind of mitigation here runs counter to that aim.

Further, the notion that Jane Doe's age would have somehow made all of the defendant's conduct legal if she had

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

## Proceedings

turned 17 is also untrue. The defendant was convicted, in addition to the transportation crimes, of attempting to exploit a minor. She would have -- that offense would have applied even if Jane Doe had been 17. So it's not simply the case that her age, if some months had been added to the timeline here, would have completely invalidated the offenses of conviction here.

The defendant also discusses the notion that his conduct, that the conduct here was aberrant behavior by the defendant. Now, it's true that this is the defendant's first sex offense, but the notion that this is aberrant behavior is completely belied by the defendant's criminal history. In fact, it's entirely consistent with someone who's acquired a criminal history category of <u>VI</u>. The defendant has sustained multiple convictions throughout the course of his life, and this conviction is consistent with a notion or an individual whose record reflects indifference towards the law and indifference towards a potential consequences of his action.

Your Honor, particularly concerning to the Government is the defendant's complete inability to take any sense of responsibility for his actions here. And in the defendant's submission he describes the circumstances that led to this crime as him trying to help people. He says that his biggest flaw is his big heart.

Your Honor --

SAM OCR RMR CRR

RPR

28 Proceedings 1 THE COURT: His big? 2 MS. CASTRO: Heart. 3 THE COURT: Heart. 4 MS. CASTRO: -- in a submission from Jane Doe's mother, it's clear that this conduct has continued to have an 5 6 impact on Jane Doe's life and will for years to come. 7 expressed that Jane Doe could not return to high school due to 8 mental health issues following this. Someone who's 16 years 9 old is now no longer in high school as a result of the 10 defendant's actions. She also says that she has undergone 11 intensive psychological treatments with a professional 12 psychologist. She's also received treatment from a forensic 13 psychiatrist. She suffered loss of friendships and the 14 ability to socialize. 15 Your Honor, these are all factors that will continue 16 to impact this girl's life for the rest of her life, not to 17 mention the impact that the defendant's crimes had on her 18 family. Her mother describes recurring flashbacks of her 19 daughter disappearing. 20 Now, the Government objects vehemently to any notion 21 that Jane Doe's conduct should be held against her and 22 credited to the defendant, but even setting that aside, what 23 of the family's impact here? Are we to blame them in some way 24 and also not refer to that in considering the defendant's

actions here?

## Proceedings

His conduct will continue to affect Jane Doe's family for years to come. And in the face of that, the defendant shows little remorse. In fact, I am going to rephrase that, the defendant shows no remorse. He maintains he was trying to help. And that is completely belied by the record.

This defendant's plan was to bring a 16-year-old girl who he knew to be vulnerable for a number of reasons. He was well aware of Jane Doe's struggles. His plan was to bring her here for his sexual gratification and to put her on a pole as a stripper for his own financial gain. He's seen bragging about it to his friends that he's about to be rich because this young girl is going to come be a stripper in the United States. This is not the conduct of someone who is trying to help.

Your Honor, I would also like to address the report of Dr. Kreuger that was submitted by the defendant. The Government submits that it should be disregarded in its entirety. The conclusion by Dr. Kreuger rests in large part on the assessment that if the defendant were to receive substance abuse treatment, he would be at a significantly lower risk of re-offending. That is difficult to take at its face given a comparison between the statements from the -- or the responses from the defendant in his PSR interview as compared to his discussions with Dr. Kreuger.

## Proceedings

The defendant states to Dr. Kreuger, in a typical fashion of his tendency to minimize his conduct, that he was under the influence of a number of drugs for the entirety of his interactions with Jane Doe. That, of course, is an incredible claim. The defendant was engaging with Jane Doe on a daily basis from, at least, January 2017 to May 2017. It is incredible to believe that the defendant was at all times responding to substance abuse.

In any event, the defendant's claims with respect to substance abuse as reported by Dr. Kreuger are significantly overblown. The defendant in his PSR interview denied daily use of drugs or of marijuana. He denied daily use of alcohol. He mentioned that he had stopped using heroin in 2015. He didn't mention cocaine at all. He did refer to crack cocaine, and admitted he had used that through, at least, March 2017. But, Your Honor, these inconsistencies render Dr. Kreuger's conclusions unreliable.

Dr. Kreuger's conclusions are also internally inconsistent. He concludes, for example, that the defendant does not suffer from a disorder that is characterized, and I am going to mess up the pronunciation of this disorder, Your Honor, ephebophilia. Your Honor, it's described as a problematic tendency toward sexual fantasies about young teenagers. And as Dr. Kreuger puts it, in order to qualify for this disorder, the defendant would have to have sustained

fantasies for at least six months.

Your Honor, we know by the defendant's admission that he met Jane Doe in October of 2016 online. You've seen the defendant's discussions with Jane Doe from, at least, December 2016 to April -- I apologize, yes, December 2016 to April 2017. Those discussions contain recurring references from the defendant about his sexual fantasies with respect to Jane Doe, a teenager, and this occurred for more than six months. So the notion that Dr. Kreuger can acknowledge all of these points within his report and then simultaneously conclude that the defendant doesn't suffer from this disorder, again, invalidates Dr. Kreuger's conclusions.

I'd also like to address defense counsel's position with respect to restitution.

Your Honor, the victims of this crime, Jane Doe and her family as her guardians, are entitled to restitution under the law. Jane Doe's mother has submitted an affidavit of loss outlining what the family has incurred as a result of the defendant's conduct and they are entitled to restitution in the amount set forth in that affidavit.

Briefly, I'd like to also address forfeiture. The Government is requesting forfeiture of two cell phones which are identified in the Indictment. One was being used by the defendant at the time of his arrest, and the second he had provided to Jane Doe for her use after, we understand it,

after their arrival in New York.

The Government submits that both of these items are instrumentalities of the crime. For example, the defendant's cell phone is seen to have a search history where he's looking up directions and inquiring about hotels in the locations where he traveled with Jane Doe between California and New York, their cross-country trip back home. It is clearly an instrumentality of the offense. The phone for Jane Doe, Your Honor, we submit was used as a further -- to further the defendant's control of Jane Doe when she was here. He brought her here so that he could have sex with her, which is a crime, and the Government submits that Jane Doe's phone was another means by which he continued to control her after her arriving here. Indeed, the communications on Jane Doe's phone are principally, if not exclusively, to the defendant.

In conclusion, Your Honor, the defendant's -- the defendant's inability to take responsibility for his actions here, coupled with his significant criminal history, create a great deal of concern with respect to recidivism. It's particularly important with respect to this defendant to specifically deter future conduct with him. And with respect to his arguments of mitigation, it is important to set forth a clear message that under no circumstances should his conduct be tolerated. And much less should his conduct be deemed lessened in any way by the very facts that made Jane Doe so

Proceedings 33 incredibly vulnerable to his manipulation of her. 1 2 So for this reason, Your Honor, the Government 3 submits that a sentence well above the applicable mandatory minimum as is contemplated by the guidelines would be 4 appropriate here. 5 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 Ms. Dolan. 8 MS. DOLAN: If I could do it from the table? 9 THE COURT: Sure, that's fine. 10 MS. DOLAN: Thank you. 11 So I'll start with Jane Doe's participation. 12 The phrase willing participant actually comes from 13 Ms. Merkl during one of my discussions with her about a 14 I wouldn't want the Court to be under the potential plea. 15 misapprehension that Mr. Price would not have considered a 16 reasonable -- what we believe would have been or what he was 17 able to stomach as a reasonable plea offer. I was begging the 18 Government for that, and I think Mr. Price, I think I can say, 19 what's the best way to phrase this, he would have been more 20 than welcomed to considering an offer that, I think, would 21 have been more in the realm of something that he could go on 22 and live with. 23 So I think that the whole thrust of the Government's 24

sentencing arguments are not close to what we see on this side of the courtroom.

25

SAM 0CR RMR CRR **RPR** 

And I think the evidentiary support that I can offer in favor of that, of that argument, is that I think the Government's statement that Mr. Price's principal motivation was that Jane Doe would earn money as a stripper is just totally wrong. I think if you review the thousands of pages of communications between these two people, the principal motivation was loneliness.

Now, the Government will come back and make all the arguments that it's just made about the age disparity and whatnot and I am not here to argue that, but the human reality is, I think, evidentiarily borne out there.

Now, I think --

THE COURT: Loneliness meaning that he could not find an appropriate friend in Queens?

MS. DOLAN: I am loath to use the appropriate. I pass no judgment on what was --

THE COURT: Appropriate to him, I am not saying appropriate to you or to me, but the last time I looked at the census there were 2.3 million people who lived in Queens, more than half of whom are women. So it is not as if he is in a town of 20 people in the middle of Nebraska.

MS. DOLAN: Well, if that had been the case, then any one of those women could have become strippers, too. So I don't think that that was the primary motivation, nor do I think that there is evidentiary support.

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

## Proceedings

My role here is to principally bring out what I think is the other side of the evidence. And I think we've reached a point at this stage where I can say that I do believe that the Government's -- the Government's framing of the facts of this case, if not misleading, are at least somewhat disingenuous.

As I've said before and as we argued at trial, there were undulations to this relationship that typify any human interaction and a significant portion of their interactions were initiated by Jane Doe.

What we didn't go into at trial or in our sentencing submission, because, frankly, I didn't think it would be necessary based on the facts, was that Jane Doe was repeatedly begging Mr. Price for a baby. So I don't know what was going on there or what her motivation was, but I do think that her willing participation is a factor that weighs against -- that weighs toward asking what responsibility this family -- what was going on in this family? And I think there are motivating factors there that we simply are not aware of.

THE COURT: This family, meaning Jane Doe's family?

MS. DOLAN: Her mother was apparently, if I understand it correctly, hospitalized for attempted suicide as soon as she learned that Jane Doe had left the country and had run away, which is, by the way, another reason why I don't think that attributing \$40,000 worth of not working for a year

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

to quote/unquote take care of Jane Doe would necessarily be appropriate or warranted.

But the bottom line here is that something was driving Jane Doe out of her home in Australia and she was trying to escape it and she was going to do it at any cost and so she did. And Mr. Price has been convicted, but nevertheless, the one picture that we have of them as the Court will remember from trial, Jane Doe is smiling. And it certainly doesn't sound like she had a very happy home life. It is unfortunate that she ended up here in these circumstances, but here we are.

Dr. Kreuger's report was not submitted as mitigating. I don't think it's a good report. If the Government wants it out, I partially join in that application. I didn't submit it to try to make the Court feel sorry for Mr. Price. I don't think it's mitigating in that respect. What I think it does identify is two things.

The first is the role not only that drugs played in Mr. Price's criminal history, but the role that drug treatment would play in his rehabilitation as an appropriate social mechanism to deal with the problem here, rather than extending incarceration.

And the second reason that I submitted that report, which I don't think the Government has sufficiently rebutted, is that there really -- I wanted to have some sort of

# Proceedings

psychological evidence concerning whether Mr. Price, you know, is a pedophile. And we don't have any information that this was anything other than aberrant behavior. And in typical fashion, instead of producing some sort of psychological evidence or psychological analysis or expert testimony or expert opinion, the Government just puts forth conjecture on what their interpretation of psychological realities are.

Which brings me to the last thing, the guidelines, just as a general matter. I've already argued that they're repetitive.

Like the Government's arguments, you know, here I am, I submitted -- once again, I've submitted the Brennan Center report. The Government just ignores it. We have tomes' worth of social science and statistics indicating that the guidelines are too high. We all know it. The reason that I submitted -- cited Judge Gwin's Harvard Law Review article from, I think, 2015 is because he actually surveyed 22 juries in Ohio, a swing state, and asked them what sentences should be in all of those 22 cases. And what Judge Gwin found was that the guidelines were a minimum of two, and often four-and-a-half, times at a median point higher than they ought to be.

You say, well, why does that matter, what difference does that make? And the reason is because the United States Congress directed the Sentencing Commission back in the early

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

## Proceedings

1980's to consider community sentiment when promulgating the guidelines. They disregarded that mandate and instead looked at 10,000 sentences from judges who are not community. We know now, after Judge Gwin's findings, what the community really thinks of the guidelines and we know that they are at least twice, and at least in many cases four-and-a-half times, higher than they should be.

So that is certainly not to abdicate responsibility, but rather to the point of, well, what's an adequate sentence?

If you go to the low end of that, that's 10 months, which is the lowest mandatory -- the lowest applicable mandatory minimum in this case.

So I think that that is more than sufficient, even if the Court were to disregard all of the other arguments that I've made. And let's be clear, when I'm talking about the age of consent in Australia, first of all, I am not talking about the child bride in Saudi Arabia or something like that or a jurisdiction where the age of consent is 13 or 14. We are talking about Australia where the age of consent is 16, as it is in many states in this -- in this nation.

And so in framing the whole context of willing participation and what's an appropriate sentence, I do think that that is a factor that the Court may take, should take into consideration. But even if we were to disregard all of that, the point that I'm making with the guidelines is that

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

Proceedings 39 1 they are twice, at least twice, as high as they ought to be. 2 And so for those reasons, 15 years is more than sufficient as 3 a deterrent and for every other purpose that criminal sanctions are applicable. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 MS. MERKL: Your Honor, if I may be heard just 6 7 briefly? 8 MS. DOLAN: Oh, I'm sorry, I just realized -- I'm 9 running up against my flight here, but I can't help myself. 10 I do think we need, at least, a hearing on the 11 restitution issue, if that is going to be imposed, partly 12 because we are going to have to have some expert support. 13 We'd have to have evidentiary support. And I just don't think 14 a demand is sufficient, particularly in light of the 15 psychological complexity and all of the issues that I 16 previously discussed. I mean she is asking for lost wages, so 17 that, I think, needs to be further evaluated and we need an 18 opportunity to challenge it. 19 MS. MERKL: Your Honor, if I may just briefly be 20 heard with regard to the defense argument that Jane Doe here 21 was a willing participant. 22 In the context of conversations over the course of 23 this case, including, yes, in discussions as to whether or not 24 Mr. Price would be amenable to a plea disposition, Ms. Dolan 25 has repeatedly made the argument that if anyone was enticing

anyone, it was Jane Doe attempting to entice the defendant.

And, Your Honor, that is exactly the issue here. This is a 16-year-old girl with a history of psychological problems who wants out of her life. And like many 16-year-old girls, she thinks that if she completely escapes her life and creates a new life maybe everything will be better for her and her psychological situation could be restored. I really think that's what's motivating her.

Whether or not I used the frame willing participant, I don't know, I don't have sufficient recollection of it; but there is no context in which I believe that to be exculpatory as to this defendant.

Did she get on the plane willingly? Yes.

Did she engage in Facebook chats with him willingly?

Yes.

I never was arguing and haven't argued ever that this was a case where he was holding a gun to her head, threatening her, forcing her; none of that. And to that extent she was a willing participant in the actions that led to this offense, but was she a knowingly and intentionally consenting voluntarily mature adult person choosing to engage in those actions? Absolutely not, and that is at core of the problem here. So whether or not I used that phrase is of absolutely no moment. Clearly it's deserving of no weight.

One other thing I wanted to address with regard to

## Proceedings

the motivation here, Ms. Dolan made a comment about, who knows what is going on with that family? This girl wanted to get out. The defendant knew quite a bit about what was going on with this girl's family because they discussed it extensively on Facebook. And the entirety of their Facebook chat was entered into evidence as Government Exhibit 21 at trial. And she told him repeatedly how her mother had had some mental health issues, how her brother had attempted to kill himself in very recent proximity to the date of her corresponding with the defendant and, ultimately, coming to travel.

She even sent the defendant pictures of like the scene in her house when her father was like dealing with her brother's suicide attempt. And he was very acutely aware that she was coming from a home where there were mental health issues and there was stress and tension in the family and she wanted an escape. He provided her that escape. He sent her pictures of fantastical apartments hoping to give her a vision of a better life and getting her to come here; coupled with the money, that's what made it happen, Your Honor.

So, yes, she was willingly getting on the plane because she had a lot of issues in her life, but that does not mean that she was knowingly and voluntarily consenting to statutory rape because, as you know, that's not possible.

THE COURT: There is also the issue of the Government's position is that the defendant was engaging in

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

Proceedings 42 technically pedophilia. 1 2 Can you address that because it has just been 3 raised? 4 MS. MERKL: Sure, Your Honor. I think that the import of the Kreuger comment, and 5 the comments about the Kreuger report that Ms. Castro made 6 7 earlier were that it was internally inconsistent. He 8 described that condition as somebody with a sustained interest 9 in somebody who is underage of six months or more. Meanwhile, 10 the evidence in this exact case shows that he had a sustained 11 interest in somebody who was underage for six months or more. 12 There was, in addition to the photographs that were exchanged, 13 Your Honor, there was substantial evidence that they were 14 engaged in video chats. And the texts surrounding those --15 the lead-up to when they would actually have like a realtime 16 face connect via the sort of video link that is available on

So it is clear, the Government submits, from the evidence in the case, including the Facebook chats, that they were engaged in sort of this realtime video streaming sex display and that the defendant was seeking it out.

Facebook, indicates that the defendant was getting antsy to

see what he termed at times his pussy.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so for Dr. Kreuger to conclude that the defendant doesn't demonstrate that characteristic suggests to the Government that he is simply not aware of the evidence in

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

Proceedings 43 this case. 1 2 THE COURT: Let me just ask, I've heard the 3 defense's position on the sentence. 4 Does the Government have a position on the sentence irrespective or taking into account with the guideline 5 6 calculation? 7 I would like to know what the Government's position 8 is, if any, beyond the guidelines. MS. CASTRO: Your Honor, our position is principally 9 10 that 15 years is not enough here. The guidelines contemplate 11 significantly higher sentence for a reason. 12 enhanced for things such as the defendant's obstruction, the 13 fact that there was a vulnerable victim here. These are valid 14 enhancements to be applied here. 15 And with respect to the defendant's potential for 16 recidivism, the Court need look no further than the 17 defendant's own inability to take responsibility for his 18 conduct. He does not believe he did anything wrong. Period. 19 This cannot be tolerated, and that speaks volumes of the likelihood that he would re-offend, regardless of age. He 20 21 didn't care about Jane Doe's age. The Government submits that 22 there should be a sentence significantly above the 15-year 23 mandatory minimum because this defendant, as we stand here 24 today, still shows no remorse.

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

# Proceedings 44 1 All right, anything else from you, Ms. Dolan, before 2 I turn to your client? 3 MS. DOLAN: That's just not what I see from 4 Mr. Price, even after -- I can say that even after all, it's just not -- it's just not -- it's just not the person that I 5 6 see. And I think part the reason that we are seeing a lot of 7 these filings and so forth is because the guidelines sentence 8 is so ridiculously high for this particular type of conduct. 9 I mean that argument about -- I mean 16 is legal in many 10 states in this nation, and in Australia. 11 So like the whole argument about Dr. -- we all know 12 what a child porn case really looks like, and we've all seen 13 them, and this is not the heartland of what Congress was 14 thinking. That is just my basic point. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 MS. DOLAN: And I think the Court apprehends that 17 argument. 18 THE COURT: All right, thank you very much. 19 All right, before I sentence you, Mr. Price, is 20 there anything you would like to say? 21 You can stand up if you like. Thank you. 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, I do have something 23 to say. 24 I do have remorse, but what happened here is 25 something that was pushed upon me. When I tried to stop

# Proceedings 45 talking to Jane Doe, she called me a few hours later said: 1 Ι 2 tried to kill myself, I thought you wasn't talking to me no 3 more. 4 I said: Why would you do that? What's wrong with you? Are you okay? Is there anything that I can do from here 5 6 to help you cope with whatever you're going through? 7 No, no, no. I always do this. I always do this. 8 I said: Well, don't do this because of me, don't 9 try to kill yourself because of me. I don't -- I don't want 10 this type of burden on my shoulders. 11 She said: Oh, well -- um, well, you got it. 12 So, you know, this is -- this is something where I 13 know I was wrong, but the time and -- and the -- and the 14 charges, I just think it's just too much because the only 15 thing that I actually did was help her escape a life that she 16 felt was -- was not right for her. 17 She told me: Oh, I'm getting beat up by my brother. 18 I'm getting beat up by my brother. 19 I'm like: Damn, that's bad. Is he okay? Is the 20 police gonna come? 21 No, no, they're not gonna come. They're not gonna 22 come. 23 And I'm -- you know, I felt like I was a rock and a 24 hard place because I had a job. I was working. I didn't -- I didn't need no girls. I don't have a problem with women at 25

## Proceedings

all. At all. I never did. I have two daughters by two different women in two different countries. I'm -- I'm -- I was doing all right. I was working every night. I was working every day. My boss when asked by Probation would he take me back, he said in the blink of an eye because I'm not a bad person and I never was.

What is going on in this -- in this courtroom with this case, I just think it's just ridiculous. It's just ridiculous. The only thing that I actually did was try to help this girl. And I never, never, never initiated sex. I never initiated sex with this girl. When I saw her, I was like, yeah, this is a kid. All I tried to do was help her. I could not at that point in time take her back to the airport or tell her to go about her way because she was vulnerable like they said, but it wasn't vulnerable to the point to where I was trying to use her or do anything to hurt this girl. That was never in my intentions. I have two little girls. The only thing that I tried to do with this girl was help her.

When it got out of hand and everything else happened, there was nothing else that I could do. There was nothing that I could do but try to -- but try to -- try to dampen the blow. Try to -- try to -- try to help myself by -- by thinking about a way to get out of it because I don't -- I don't think that -- I don't think that what time is -- what time is being talked about is warranted for the actions that I

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

She was having sex with -- I don't think -- I don't think that the charges, the time, I just don't think it's 47

3 right.

did.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If I would have let -- if I would have let that girl do whatever she wanted to do, she would have -- she would have probably put her death on my hands. So -- so I thought that I was doing something to help her at all costs. I would never want nobody's death to be on my hands whether she's in Japan or if she's in Switzerland. She was talking to me every day. So yeah, she was a friend, and I tried to do the best I can to help her get out of the situation that she thought was the worse thing that -- that was a part of her life.

THE COURT: Well, the Court is obligated to review certain factors in connection with the imposition of sentence. Among them are the need to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense, to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant, to provide the defendant with needed education or vocational training, medical care, other correctional treatment, and as set forth in 18 United States Code Section 3553(a).

The defendant is in Criminal History Category VI. He started his career of crime at the age of 17 by driving a motor vehicle at over 70 miles an hour and skidding into a

> SAM 0CR RMR **RPR** CRR

conduct.

## Proceedings

tree killing someone else in the car and then pleading guilty to negligent homicide. He moved on at age 18, the possession of a concealed weapon in New Jersey, then to criminal possession of a controlled substance in Queens, then to attempted criminal attempt in Queens, then to assault in the third degree in Queens at age 28 where he threw a chair at his former common law wife causing scratches and bruising the victim's back. And then at age 30 he was convicted of criminal contempt in connection with going to his wife's, a romantic partner's place of business and screaming at her. Also, reckless driving, resisting arrest, and unlawful fleeing in a separate case. And then at age 31 resisting arrest, and then at 32 resisting arrest. And at age 37 disorderly

So that is just a thumbnail sketch of the defendant's criminal history. To say it's Criminal History Category  $\overline{VI}$  is to say a lot. There are very few defendants that I sentence in Criminal History Category  $\overline{VI}$ . So we can start with that.

And so the very idea that the defendant was just trying to help is just rejected by the Court. The defendant sent money to this person living in Australia, who he knew to have difficult psychological problems, to bring her to the United States, drive her across the United States, and then shack up with her in his parents' basement in Queens. And the

## Proceedings

purpose of this was to help, according to the defendant. She forced him to do it. She threatened that she might do injury to herself.

It would seem to me the thing to do if someone did something like that is to call the authorities, if you feel that strongly call the authorities halfway across the world and tell them that this is a person who needs an intervention, not a person who needs a flight of 10,000 miles to Los Angeles at the age of 16. Certainly, a 39-year-old man knows better. A 39-year-old man who has two children who's been in a number of relationships knows better. There is no excuse.

And the idea that, sir, you would come to this court and say you were just trying to help her because she could not help herself is rejected totally by this court; totally.

There needs to be, in my opinion, a sentence imposed that acts as a deterrence to this kind of conduct. To me deterrence is the most important element or factor in this case.

This defendant has had many chances to mitigate, to turn his life around, to be a good citizen. There is a point at which one has to say this is just not happening. And the victim in this case is further injured beyond what her condition was when she left Australia, is further injured by the actions of this defendant. You don't just get to play around with people for your own satisfaction and leave the people further injured, further tainted, further harmed. So

	Proceedings 50
1	don't buy it. It is unacceptable. And, frankly, I agree with
2	Congress, not all the time, but I will tell you I agree with
3	them about this guideline and with the Sentencing Commission
4	because the best way to deter this kind of behavior is to tell
5	adults that if you do it, you are going to pay. And today is
6	your day of reckoning.
7	So, you may stand to be sentenced.
8	Are you ready to be sentenced? Are you ready to be
9	sentenced?
10	THE WITNESS: No, I still would like I still have
11	something to say.
12	THE COURT: What would you like to say?
13	THE DEFENDANT: You just
14	THE COURT: He wants to say something.
15	THE DEFENDANT: You described my criminal history.
16	In '95 there was a car accident. The guy in the car had a
17	gun. He said: Don't stop, Sean, Imma shoot you. The car
18	I sped off, the car flipped over. Yes, that did happen.
19	After that, after that you mentioned you
20	mentioned something about another case that I had where I
21	resisted arrest. Oh, yeah, I went to go see my daughter. The
22	mother of my child told me my daughter was sick. She said,
23	You should come see her. So what I did was I got in my car
24	and I went to go see her. What happens was she calls the
25	police. So yes, I ran because I was guilty of nothing, but

51 Proceedings 1 trying to take care of my daughter. 2 After that resisting arrest, I was not -- I was 3 arrested for resisting arrest because I was running because I 4 wasn't guilty of anything. I'm -- I'm not a man that -- that shuns from responsibility or doesn't know when he does 5 6 something wrong. I always know when I do something wrong and 7 when I do, I plead guilty because I know that I did something 8 wrong. 9 But in this case --You've already said that. 10 MS. DOLAN: 11 THE DEFENDANT: But in this instant she told me she 12 was gonna kill herself. I didn't know -- I didn't know -- I 13 didn't know what to do. Maybe that's my fault and I'll take 14 that, but I didn't know what to do when she told me that and 15 that wasn't the first time she told me that. 16 responsibility for the charges and the time, I guess -- I guess -- I guess I can't say nothing else. 17 18 THE COURT: Are you ready to be sentenced? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 On Count One interstate enticement to engage in 22 illegal sexual activity, I sentence you to 240 months in the 23 custody of Attorney General. On Count Two, interstate and 24 foreign transportation of a minor for illegal sexual activity, 25 I sentence you to 420 months in the custody of the Attorney

## Proceedings

General. On Count Three, Mann Act, intent to rape, I sentence you to 120 months in the custody of Attorney General. And on Count Four, attempted sexual exploitation of a child, I sentence you to 360 months in the custody of the Attorney General.

All of these sentences are to run concurrently, so your sentence, effectively, is 420 months in the custody of the Attorney General. Your supervised release on all four counts is 120 months to run concurrently with each other.

I am going to impose certain special conditions.

You shall comply with any applicable state and/or federal sex offender registration requirements as instructed by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons, any state offender registration agency in the state where you reside, work, or work as a student.

You shall comply with orders of restitution and forfeiture imposed by this Court.

Upon request you shall provide the Probation

Department with full disclosure of your financial records, including comingled income, expenses, assets and liabilities; to include yearly income tax returns. With the exception of financial accounts reported and noted within the pre-sentence report, you are prohibited from maintaining and/or opening any additional individual and/or joint checking, savings or other financial accounts for either personal or business purposes

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

without the knowledge and approval of the Probation Department.

You should cooperate with the probation officer in the investigation of your financial dealings and shall provide truthful monthly statements of your income and expenses.

You shall cooperate in the signing of any necessary authorization to release information forms permitting the U.S. Probation Department access to your financial information and records.

You should not associate with any children under the age of 18 unless a responsible adult is present and you have prior approval from the Probation Department. If cohabit with an individual who has a minor child, you will inform the other party of your prior criminal history concerning your sex offense. Moreover, you will notify the party of the prohibitions of associating with any child under the age of 18 unless a responsible individual is present.

You shall refrain from contacting the victim of the offense unless specific permission is granted by the Probation Department and this Court. This means that you shall not attempt to meet in person, communicate by letter, telephone, mail, the Internet, or through a third-party without the knowledge and permission of the Probation Department and this Court.

You are not to use a computer, Internet-capable

## Proceedings

device or similar electronic device to access pornography of any kind. The term pornography shall include images or video of adults or minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct as that term is defined in 18 United States Code Section 2256(2).

You shall not use a computer, Internet-capable device or similar electronic device to view images of naked children. You shall not use your computer to view pornography or images of naked children stored on related computer media such as CD or DVDs and shall not communicate via your computer with any individual or group that promotes the sexual abuse of children.

You shall also cooperate with the U.S. Probation
Department's computer and Internet Monitoring Department.
Cooperation shall include, but shall not be limited to,
identifying computer systems, Internet-capable devices, and/or
electronic devices that you have access to and allowing the
installation of monitoring software/hardware on said devices
at your expense.

You shall inform all parties that have access to the monitored computer or similar electronic device that the device is subject to search and monitoring.

You may be limited to possessing only one personal Internet-capable device to facilitate the Probation Department's ability to effectively monitor your Internet-related activities. You shall also permit random examinations

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

of such computer systems, Internet-capable devices, similar electronic devices and related computer media, such as CDs, under your control.

You shall report to the probation office any and all electronic communication, service accounts, used for user communications, dissemination or storage of digital media files. This includes, but not limited to, e-mail accounts, social media accounts, cloud storage accounts. You shall provide each account identifier and password and shall report the creation of new accounts, changes in identifiers and/or passwords, transfers, suspension or deletion of any account within five days of such action. Failure to provide accurate account information may be grounds for revocation of release.

You shall permit the probation office to access and search any accounts using your credentials pursuant to this condition only when reasonable suspicion exists that you have violated a condition of your supervision and the account to be searched contains evidence of this violation. Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation of release.

You shall participate in mental health treatment program, which may include participation in a treatment program for sexual disorders as approved by the Probation Department. You shall contribute to the cost of such services rendered and/or any psychotropic medications prescribed to the degree you are reasonably able, and shall cooperate in

securing any applicable third-party payment.

You shall disclose all financial information and documents to the Probation Department to assess your ability to pay. As part of the treatment program for sexual disorders, you will participate in a polygraph examination to obtain information necessary to establish risk management and correctional treatment.

You shall submit your person, property, house, residence, vehicle, papers, computers, other electronic communications or data storage devices or media or office to a search conducted by a United States probation officer.

Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation of release.

You shall warn any other occupants of the premises that they may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition. An officer may conduct a search pursuant to this condition only when reasonable suspicion exists that you have violated a condition of your supervision and that the areas to be searched contain evidence of this violation. Any search must be conducted at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner.

There is a \$400 special assessment, which is mandatory. You are subject to a forfeiture provision and to restitution.

The defense has asked for a hearing on the

#### Proceedings 57 restitution issue, correct? 1 2 MS. DOLAN: Yes. 3 THE COURT: I will set a hearing date for the 4 restitution issue. If the parties agree to an amount of restitution, which is mandatory, but an amount, prior to such 5 a hearing, you should advise the Court of that agreement. 6 7 the forfeiture provision is for the two cell phones. 8 Is that right? 9 MS. CASTRO: That's correct, Your Honor. Ms. Orenstein? 10 THE COURT: 11 MS. ORENSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor. We can hand up a 12 proposed order of forfeiture now. 13 THE COURT: Have you shown it to the defense? 14 MS. DOLAN: Wait. I just object. I literally received this as a walked in. So I would just say that I 15 16 think under Riley and Carpenter, I would like to make the 17 argument that, you know, a phone is like a house. You don't 18 take the whole house just because a crime is committed in it. 19 We now understand that cell phones are a lot more than just 20 that. 21 So I think it's academic, but I would like to put it 22 on the record that we object to the forfeiture. 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 MS. ORENSTEIN: Let me say that Ms. Dolan received a 25 copy of it a good 15 minutes before we started.

```
Proceedings
                                                                  58
                          Well, she's been busy, but she's made
1
              THE COURT:
 2
    her objection.
 3
              MS. DOLAN:
                          Thank you.
 4
              THE COURT:
                          And the objection is on the record.
              MS. ORENSTEIN:
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
5
 6
              THE COURT:
                          I will consider it. I am not going to
7
    rule on it now.
8
              MS. DOLAN:
                          Actually, I didn't even walk into the
9
    courtroom until 5 minutes before, whatever.
10
              THE COURT:
                          Whatever.
11
              MS. DOLAN:
                          Thank you.
12
              THE COURT:
                          The fact is I am not going to act on it
13
    now.
          If you wanted to put something in writing --
14
              MS. DOLAN:
                          Thank you.
15
              THE COURT:
                          You can do so within seven days.
16
              MS. DOLAN:
                          Thank you.
17
                          And the parent of the victim did submit
              THE COURT:
18
    a report of the amount of restitution that they are claiming,
19
    right --
20
              MS. CASTRO: That's correct, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: -- Ms. Castro?
22
              I think it is about $40,000, is it not?
23
              MS. DOLAN: I believe it's 50 U.S., in there,
24
    thereabouts.
25
              MS. CASTRO: 50,391 U.S. dollars.
```

	Proceedings 59
1	THE COURT: Okay, 50,000.
2	So I will set a date for a hearing. You do a lot of
3	traveling, so
4	MS. DOLAN: I am going to miss a plane actually
5	right now, so if I could be allowed
6	THE COURT: We are about to finish.
7	MS. DOLAN: If I could be in touch with Mr. Reccoppa
8	and the Government about a date?
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	MS. DOLAN: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: I would like to do it soon because I
12	want to issue a judgment here in the immediate future.
13	I am not imposing a fine, as restitution is a
14	priority. And before I discuss appeal, is there anything else
15	from the Government?
16	MS. CASTRO: No, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Anything else from you?
18	MS. DOLAN: Nothing further, thank you.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	Mr. Price, you have the right to appeal your you
21	may sit. You have the right to appeal your conviction and
22	sentence to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second
23	Circuit. Your time to appeal is extremely limited. You
24	should discuss with your attorney at once whether you wish to
25	appeal and whether an appeal would be worthwhile. You have

```
Proceedings
                                                                   60
    14 days from the date of the judgment. I think it's the
1
 2
    judgment.
 3
               MS. CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT:
                           The judgment.
               MS. MERKL:
                           Entry of judgment.
5
               THE COURT:
                           Entry of judgment to file a notice of
 6
 7
    appeal.
8
               Do you understand your right to appeal?
9
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes, I do.
10
               THE COURT: And, Ms. Dolan, you will discuss the
11
    defendant's right to appeal with him immediately?
12
               MS. DOLAN:
                           I've already discussed it, and we do
13
    intend to file a notice.
14
               THE COURT:
                           Okay, very good. Please do it timely.
               MS. DOLAN:
                           Yes, of course.
15
               THE COURT:
                           Is there anything today from the
16
17
    Government?
18
               MS. CASTRO:
                            No, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT:
                           Anything else from the defense?
20
               MS. DOLAN:
                           No, thank you.
21
               THE COURT:
                           All right, we are adjourned.
22
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
               MS. MERKL:
23
24
               (Matter adjourned.)
25
```

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR
I certify the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

/s/ Stacy A. Mace March 15, 2019